United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/652,679 | 08/29/2003 | Brent D. Massmann | MTC 6828.1 (39-21 (52749) | 2560 | |
| 321 SENNIGER PC | 7590 02/23/200° OWERS | 7 | EXAMINER | | |
| ONE METROP | POLITAN SQUARE | | PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL | | |
| 16TH FLOOR ST LOUIS, MC | 0 63102 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| · | | | 1616 | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 31 DAYS | | 02/23/2007 | ELECTRONIC | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 31 DAYS from 02/23/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/652,679 | MASSMANN ET | MASSMANN ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Alton N. Pryor | 1616 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet w | with the correspondence a | ddress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A | IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | , | | | |
| Status | | · | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 De</u> | ecember 2006 | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | , | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | • | · • | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | , | , | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | vii iroiii consideration. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement | | | | | |
| o) Claim(s) 7-35 are subject to restriction and/or e | section requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) objected to | by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeya | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | • | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | ion is required if the drawin | g(s) is objected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attache | ed Office Action or form P | TO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in . | Application No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have bee | n received in this Nationa | l Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | , | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No | (s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of 6) Other: | Informal Patent Application | | | | |
| , | ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/652,679

Art Unit: 1616

Application serial number 10/652,679 has been transferred to art unit and will be further examined by a different examiner. After reviewing the claims, the previous office action date 09/06/06 is vacated in light of restriction requirement set forth below.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-17, drawn to a process for preparing a sodium glyphosate composition comprising mixing in a reactor particulate glyphosate acid, sodium hydroxide, and water, classified in class 504, subclass 206.
- II. Claim 18, drawn to a process of claim 1 wherein the process is conducted in a continuous reactor having specific structural limitations, classified in class 504, subclass 206.
- III. Claims 19-33, drawn to a continuous process for preparing a dry granular sodium glyphosate composition comprising feeding into a reactor particulate glyphosate acid, sodium hydroxide, and water, classified in class 504, subclass 206.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are directed to related processes for producing a sodium glyphosate composition. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants since invention II requires further structural limitations to the reactor being used

in the production of the sodium glyphosate composition. For example, invention II requires a continuous reactor having an elongated chamber, aperture, ports, rotatable shafts which are limitations not required in invention I. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Page 3

Inventions III and II are directed to related processes for producing a sodium glyphosate composition. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants since invention II requires further structural limitations to the reactor being used in the production of the sodium glyphosate composition. For example, invention II requires a continuous reactor having an elongated chamber, aperture, ports, rotatable shafts which are limitations not required in invention III. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Inventions I and III are directed to related processes for producing a sodium glyphosate composition. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See

Application/Control Number: 10/652,679

Art Unit: 1616

MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants since invention III requires the production of a dry granular glyphosate product and process steps involving extruding and screening. Invention I does not require a dry product and extruding and screening process steps. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Attorney Vander Molen on 2/19/07 to inform the Attorney that the application has been transferred to art unit 1616 and that a restriction requirement may be made. In the event a restriction requirement is necessary, Attorney informed Examiner that written restriction be mailed.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,679

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 6 ·

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616